

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

TO I

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/685,000	10/14/2003		Nick Scott Russell	IDF 2420 (4000-13300)	4770	
28003 SPRINT	'			EXAMINER		
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100				CAO, PHU	CAO, PHUONG THAO	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2164		
			·			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/685,000 RUSSELL, NICK SCOTT Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Phuong-Thao Cao 2164 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Phuong-Thao Cao, Examiner. (3) Brian Genco, Attorney (Reg. No. 58,096). (2) Sana Al-Hashemi, Primary Examiner. (4)\_\_\_\_ Date of Interview: 09 May 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 21. Identification of prior art discussed: Landfield et al. (US Patent 5,928,333 issued in 1999). Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. q) $\bowtie$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Attorney gave an overview of the invention and argued that the reference did not teach the limitation "verifying whether the test application is operating properly". Examiners pointed out how the reference taught or suggested the claimed limitation and further asserted that the reference read on the language of the limitation as claimed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

SANA AL-HASHEMI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required